Additionally, the panel noted that the SLA's policies and procedures are silent regarding holding a new election and also regarding a tie occurring during a run-off election. Therefore, the panel found that the language of the policies and procedures of the Business Enterprise Program regarding elections was clear in that once the two highest vote getters were determined, those two vote getters would continue with a runoff election until one of the vote getters ultimately won the election.

On September 26, 1991, a new election was held. The SLA petitioned the panel to declare the issue moot in light of the new election. The complainant requested that the panel unseat the person elected on October 4, 1989, as well as the person elected on September 26.

The panel ruled that the election process held by the SLA on October 4, 1989 was a violation of the policies and procedures of the Business Enterprise Program and, further, that Karla Todd won the run-off election that began on September 20, 1989. However, since a new and undisputed election was held on September 26, 1991, the panel concluded it was without authority to upset that election, and, therefore, the issue as to the appropriateness of the election held on October 4, 1989 was moot and no remedy could be fashioned.

Panel member Harris dissented, indicating that the rules of the Business Enterprise Program were silent regarding the situation of a run-off election, and, therefore, the SLA did not violate its own policy.

The views and opinions expressed by the panel do not necessarily represent the views and opinions of the U.S. Department of Education.

Dated: January 30, 1995.

Judith E. Heumann.

Assistant Secretary, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services. [FR Doc. 95-2683 Filed 2-2-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 1494-088 Oklahoma]

Grand River Dam Authority; **Availability of Environmental** Assessment

January 30, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory

Commission's regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47910), the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL) reviewed the application for non-project use of project lands for the Pensacola Hydroelectric Project. The application proposes to excavate an area approximately 174 feet wide, 500 feet long, and 10 feet deep and to construct a breakwater 10 feet wide (to the approximate elevation of 746 feet mean sea level) on Grand Lake O' The Cherokees, in Delaware County, Oklahoma. The staff prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the action. In the EA, staff concludes that approval of the non-project use of project lands would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Reference and Information Center, Room 3308, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2662 Filed 2-2-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 2114-032 Washington]

Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County; Availability of Environmental **Assessment**

January 30, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 169 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47910), the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL) reviewed the proposal for constructing a prototype fish surface collector at the Priest Rapids Project in Grant County, Washington. The Commission prepared an environmental assessment (EA) for the proposed action. In the EA, the Commission concludes that approval of construction of the proposed prototype fish surface collector will not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Reference and Information Center, Room 3308, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2663 Filed 2-2-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. GP94-19-000]

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Tight Formation Area Determination FERC No. JD94-01286T (Oklahoma-57); Preliminary Finding

January 30, 1995.

On November 26, 1993, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission (Oklahoma) determined that the Fanshawe Formation, underlying parts of Latimer County, Oklahoma, qualifies as a tight formation under Section 107(c)(5) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA). ARCO Oil and Gas Company (ARCO) is the applicant before Oklahoma.

By letter dated January 10, 1994, staff tolled the Commission's 45-day review period and requested additional support for Oklahoma's conclusion that the Fanshawe Formation meets the Commission's tight formation guidelines in $\S 271.703(c)(2)$ of the Commission's regulations.1 Staff requested additional information because the record did not show whether the premeability and prestimulation stabilized flow rates on which the determination was based reflected initial characteristics or characteristics resulting from years of

sustained production.

The Commission has received no response to the January 10, 1994 tolling letter. Without additional information showing that the determination is based on initial permeability and prestimulation stabilized flow rates characteristics, we are unable to find that Oklahoma's determination is supported by substantial evidence. Under § 275.202(a) of the regulations, the Commission's may make a preliminary finding, before any determination becomes final, that the determination is not supported by substantial evidence in the record.2 Therefore, the Commission hereby makes a preliminary finding that Oklahoma's determination is not supported by substantial evidence in the

¹ Section 271.703(c)(2) requires a jurisdictional agency's tight formation area determination to show that: (1) The estimated average in situ gas permeability, throughout the pay section, is expected to be 0.1 millidarcy or less; (2) the average pre-stimulation stabilized natural gas flow rate (against atmospheric pressure) of wells completed for production in the formation does not exceed the applicable maximum allowable flow rate; and (3) wells in the recommended area is expected to produce, without stimulation, more than 5 barrels of crude oil per day.

² Order No. 567, issued on July 28, 1994, rescinded Part 275 of the Commission's NGPA's regulations as of that date (68 FERC § 61,135). The Commission stated, however, that rescission of Part 275 is prospective only and that timely filed applications for well determination proceedings still pending before the Commission will continue to be subject to the requirements of Part 275 as it existed before July 28, 1994.

record upon which it was made. Oklahoma or ARCO may, within 30 days from the date of this preliminary finding, submit written comments and request an informal conference with the Commission, pursuant to § 275.202(f) of the regulations. A final Commission order will be issued within 120 days after the issuance of this preliminary finding.

By direction of the Commission.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–2708 Filed 2–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER94-1691-000]

AIG Trading Corp.; Notice of Issuance of Order

January 27, 1995.

On September 29, 1994, as completed on November 23, 1994, AIG Trading Corporation (AIG Trading) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which AIG Trading will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. AIG Trading also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, AIG Trading requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by AIG Trading.

On January 19, 1995, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Applications, Office of Electric Power Regulation, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by AIG Trading should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, AIG Trading is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of AIG Trading's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is February 21, 1995.

Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, Room 3308, 941 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–2664 Filed 2–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. MG95-2-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.; Filing

January 30, 1995.

Take notice that on January 20, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), submitted revised standards of conduct under Order Nos. 497 et seq. 1 and Order Nos. 566 et seq. 2 Columbia states that it is revising its standards of conduct to incorporate the changes required by Order Nos. 566 and 566–A. The modifications are also necessary to reflect organizational changes within Columbia as a result of implementing Order No. 636.

Columbia states that copies of its filing are available for inspection at its

¹ Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497-A, order on rehearing, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497-B, order extending sunset date, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,908 (1990); order No. 497-C, order extending sunset date, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC p 61,139 (1992); Tenneco Gas. v. FERC (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F. 2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992); Order No. 497–D, order on remand and extending sunset date, III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497-E, order on rehearing and extending sunset date, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993); Order No. 497-F, order denying rehearing and granting clarification, 59 FR 15336 (April 1, 1994), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994); and Order No. 497-G, order extending sunset date, 59 FR 32884 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,996 (June 17,

² Standards of conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566–A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566–B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707 (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994); *appeal docketed sub nom. Conoco, Inc. v. FERC*, D.C. Cir. No. 94–1745 (December 13, 1994).

offices at 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, SE., Charleston, West Virginia; 700 Thirteenth Street, NW., Suite 900, Washington, DC; and have been mailed to all firm customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before February 14, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–2665 Filed 2–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. MG95-3-000]

Columbia Gulf Transmission Co.; Filing

January 30, 1995.

Take notice that on January 20, 1995, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf) submitted revised standards of conduct under Order Nos. 497 *et seq.*¹ and Order Nos. 566 *et seq.*²

¹ Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497-A, order on rehearing, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497-B, order extending sunset date, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs ¶ 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497-C, order extending sunset date, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); Tenneco Gas v. FERC (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F. 2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992); Order No. 497-D, order on remand and extending sunset date, III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497-E, order on rehearing and extending sunset date, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993); Order No. 497-F, order denying rehearing and granting clarification, 59 FR 15336 (April 1, 1994), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994); and Order No. 497-G, order extending sunset date, 59 FR 32884 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,996 (June 17,

² Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566–A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566–B, *order on*